arXiv:1404.0227v1 [math.NT] 1 Apr 2014

# CORRECTIONS TO "ON CONTINUED FRACTIONS OF GIVEN PERIOD"

VLADIMIR PLETSER

Abstract. Corrections are brought to an article of Friesen on continued fractions of a given period.

Friesen has proven [1] that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there are infinitely many squarefree integers $N$, where the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{N}$ has period equal to $k$. This was demonstrated in a Corollary following a Theorem stating that

**Theorem 1.** *Let* $\left\lfloor \sqrt{N} \right\rfloor$ *denote the greatest integer* $\leq \sqrt{N}$. *Then the equation*

$$\sqrt{N} = \left[ \left\lfloor \sqrt{N} \right\rfloor ; \overline{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-2} = a_2, a_{k-1} = a_1, a_k = 2 \left\lfloor \sqrt{N} \right\rfloor} \right]$$

*has, for any symmetric set of positive integers* $\{a_1, ..., a_{k-1}\}$, *infinitely many squarefree solutions* $N$ *whenever either* $Q_{k-2}$ *or* $\left(Q_{k-2}^2 - (-1)^k\right)/Q_{k-1}$ *is even. If both quantities are odd, then there are no solutions* $N$ *even if the squarefree condition is dropped.*

where $Q_k$ are convergents of the of the continued fraction of $\sqrt{N}$. This theorem is demonstrated using three Lemmas.

Unfortunately, this paper contains two mistakes, that we want to correct here.

First, in the demonstration of the Lemma 1, (see [1], p. 12, line 19), the expression of $N$ should be $N = N(b) = \alpha b^2 + \beta b + \gamma$ (instead of $\alpha b^2 + \beta b^2 + \gamma$, which would not make sense). However this does not change the final result of this Lemma 1.

Second, Friesen proved the Corollary with a sufficient condition that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, a symmetric set of positive integers $\{a_1, ..., a_{k-1}\}$ exists such that $Q_{k-1}$ is odd. The case $k = 1$ is direct as it yields $N(b) = b^2 + 1$ giving an infinity of squarefree $N$.

However, for the case $k > 1$, the demonstration (see [1], p. 13, lines 19-25) is wrong as it contradicts the final statement made in the conclusion (see [1], p. 13, lines 35-36).

I have attempted to correct his demonstration herebelow.

"Assume $k > 1$. If $k \equiv 0 \,(mod\, 3)$ [instead of $k \neq 0 \,(mod\, 3)$] then set $a_1 = a_{k-1} = 2$ and $a_i = 1$ for $i = 2, ..., k-2$. If $k \neq 0 \,(mod\, 3)$ [instead of $k \equiv 0 \,(mod\, 3)$] set$a_i = 1$ for $i = 1, ..., k-1$. In both cases we have the recursion formula for $Q_n$ giving us copies of the Fibonacci sequence (...). In the first instance [i.e. $k \equiv 0 \,(mod\, 3)$] we have $Q_n = F_{n+2}$for $n = 2, ..., k-2$ [instead of $i = 1, ..., k-2$] and $Q_{k-1} = 2Q_{k-2} + Q_{k-3} = 2F_k + F_{k-1}$ [instead of $2F_k + F_{k-1} + F_{k+2}$]. If $k \neq 0 \,(mod\, 3)$ we have $Q_n = F_{n+1}$ for $n = 1, ..., k-1$, hence $Q_{k-1} = F_k$. But, as $F_k$ is even only

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11A55, Secondary: 11J70.
*Key words and phrases.* Continued Fractions.

when $k \equiv 0\,(mod\,3)$, we see that $Q_{k-1}$ is odd in either situation. Therefore, either $Q_{k-2}$ or $\left(Q_{k-2}^2 - (-1)^k\right)/Q_{k-1}$ is even and we have satisfied the conditions of the Theorem, thus proving the Corollary."

With these corrections, these statements agree with those of the conclusion namely "By setting $a_i = 1$ for $i = 1, ..., k-1$ if $k \neq 0\,(mod\,3)$ (and $a_1 = a_{k-1} = 2$, $a_i = 1$ for $i = 2, ..., k-2$ if $k \equiv 0\,(mod\,3)$) it was shown that the conditions of the Theorem are met."

Despite these mistakes, the final result still stands.

## References

[1] C. Friesen, On continued fractions of given period, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), no. 1, 9-14.

European Space Research and Technology Centre, ESA-ESTEC P.O. Box 299, NL-2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands

European Space Research and Technology Centre, ESA-ESTEC P.O. Box 299, NL-2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands
*E-mail address*: Vladimir.Pletser@esa.int